IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 1:15-CR-19

PATRICK W. GANIM,

Defendant.

- - -

Proceedings had in the <u>Plea Hearing</u> of the above-styled action on <u>June 8, 2015</u>, before the Honorable John S. Kaull, Magistrate Judge, at Clarksburg, West Virginia.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: SARAH WAGNER MONTORO, ESQUIRE

Assistant United States Attorney 320 W. Pike Street - Suite 300 Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301

304-623-7030

FOR THE DEFENDANT: L. RICHARD WALKER, ESQUIRE

Federal Public Defender Office The Huntington Bank Building 230 W. Pike Street - Suite 360 Clarksburg, West Virginia 26302

304-622-3823

The Defendant was present in person.

Proceedings recorded utilizing digital recording, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

LINDA L. BACHMAN, CCR, CVR
U.S. COURT REPORTER
P.O. BOX 969
CLARKSBURG, WEST VIRGINIA 26302-0969
(304) 282-0395

INDEX

WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

(For the Government)

George Sinclair 44 48

Defendant Sworn - Page 3

2

```
3
                         PROCEEDINGS
 1
           (06-08-2015, 1:00 o'clock p.m., defendant present)
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Let's go on the record
 3
 4
     please.
 5
              THE CLERK: United States of America versus Patrick
 6
      Ganim, Case Number 1:15-CR-19. The defendant is present in
 7
      person. This matter comes on for a plea hearing. Will
      counsel please note their appearance for the record?
 8
 9
              MS. MONTORO: Sarah Montoro on behalf of the
10
      Government.
              MR. WALKER: I'm Richard Walker on behalf of Mr.
11
12
     Ganim.
13
              THE COURT: Mr. Ganim, would you please stand in
14
     your place, raise your right hand and be sworn, sir?
15
          (Defendant Standing)
16
          (The defendant was sworn.)
17
              THE CLERK: You may be seated.
18
          (Defendant Seated)
              THE COURT: Mr. Ganim, pull the microphone over to
19
20
     you. Ms. Montoro, is--has the appropriate notice been given
21
     to any potential victims in this matter?
22
              MS. MONTORO: Yes, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ganim, you're appearing
24
     before the Court today. I'm going to ask you some
25
     questions. If there's any question that I ask you that you
```

don't understand for a reason I want you to tell me in advance of trying to answer it that you don't understand it. If you answer a question that I ask you, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. You certainly have a right to ask any questions that you want at any time during today's hearing. You're encouraged to do that.

You're also encouraged to talk with your attorney at any time that you want to. You have a right to privacy when you do talk with your attorney. Please understand that the microphone in front of you is recording and is broadcasting what you are saying so if you're unable to have privacy there at counsel table by muting the microphone and talking softly, let me know; we'll take a recess. After you've had a chance to talk with your attorney in private then we'll resume the proceedings.

Do you understand all those instructions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: As I've indicated, you're under oath and you're required to give truthful answers to the questions you're asked. If you would give false testimony in response to any question that's material to this proceeding, then you could subject yourself to fine, imprisonment or both for contempt of court, perjury or false swearing while under oath. That penalty would be in addition to any penalty that you may face by your proposed

THE COURT: Now Ms. Montoro is going to summarize that agreement for us on the record. I want you to listen to it, follow along with your copy so that your mind is refreshed as to what you've agreed to and you'll be able to answer questions about it when we get to that point. Ms. Montoro.

MS. MONTORO: The plea agreement is dated April $29^{\rm th}$, 2015. It's five pages long. Mr. Ganim and his counsel, Mr. Walker, have signed each page of the agreement on May $4^{\rm th}$, 2015 and I have signed the agreement on behalf of the Government.

Paragraph one sets forth that Mr. Ganim is agreeing to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment charging him with travel with intent to engage in illicit sexual conduct in violation of 18, U.S.C., 2423(b).

Paragraph two sets forth that the maximum penalty to which Mr. Ganim will be exposed by virtue of his plea agreement is a term of imprisonment of not more than thirty years; a fine of not more than two hundred fifty thousand dollars; a period of supervised release of five years to life and a special mandatory assessment of one hundred dollars.

Paragraph three sets forth that Mr. Ganim is agreeing to be completely forthright and truthful with federal officials and will provide sworn statements, grand jury testimony and

trial testimony if required and will submit to a polygraph if required. Paragraph three also sets forth that no information given to Ms.--given to federal officials by Mr. Ganim during a statement or testimony will be used against him in any subsequent prosecution by the United States.

Paragraph four sets forth that the United States is authorized to state that the Prosecuting Attorney for West-for Preston County, West Virginia will not prosecute Mr. Ganim for the conduct which forms the basis of the federal indictment against him.

Paragraph five sets forth that the Government--if Mr.

Ganim complies with the cooperation agreement, the

Government will recommend that--excuse me, I'm sorry.

Paragraph five sets forth that the Government will not use any information provided by Mr. Ganim against him except if he perjures himself or gives false statements to federal agents.

Paragraph six sets forth that the United States will advise the Sentencing Court of Mr. Ganim's forthrightness and truthfulness, or lack thereof, for the Sentencing Court to consider during sentencing.

Paragraph seven sets forth that the Government has not told Mr. Ganim what his sentence will be; that this plea agreement is a nonbinding recommendation by the Government and Mr. Ganim understands that the Court is not bound by the

recommendations and that Mr. Ganim will not have the right to withdraw his guilty plea if the Court does not follow the sentencing recommendations.

Paragraph eight sets forth that if Mr. Ganim accepts responsibility he will be--the United States will recommend a two level reduction in his guideline range and that if he provides timely notice of his intent to plead guilty, which he has, again the United States will recommend an additional one level reduction and that the United States will recommend that a sentence of incarceration be imposed at the low end of the applicable guideline range.

Paragraph nine sets forth that if Mr. Ganim fails to comply with the agreement then the United States would not be bound to make the foregoing recommendations and Mr. Ganim will not have the right to withdraw his plea.

Paragraph ten sets forth that the parties are stipulating that on or about October 1, 2014, in Preston County, West Virginia, in the Northern District and elsewhere, Mr. Ganim traveled in interstate commerce outside the State of West Virginia to Preston County for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with another person. The parties further stipulate that Mr. Ganim, who was 29 years old at the time, traveled from outside the State of West Virginia where he committed—into West Virginia where he committed West Virginia offenses of third degree sexual

assault by engaging in anal and oral sexual intercourse with a twelve year old person. The parties further stipulate and agree that the base offense level is 24. The parties further stipulate and agree that a two level enhancement applies for undue influence given that the victim was more than ten years younger than Mr. Ganim. The parties also stipulate and agree that a two level enhancement applies for the use of a computer. The parties further stipulate and agree that a two level enhancement applies for sexual contact and the parties further stipulate and agree that a five level increase applies for pattern of activity. The parties also agree that no further enhancements apply.

Paragraph eleven sets forth that the United States reserves the right to provide the Court and the Probation Office with information in connection with a presentence investigation and that the United States also retains the right to respond to questions raised by the Court or to correct inaccuracies or inadequacies in the Presentence Report.

Paragraph twelve sets forth that Mr. Ganim understands that the United States Sentencing Guidelines are advisory and not mandatory and that as such the Sentencing Court may impose a sentence below or above the guideline range so that—so long as the sentence is reasonable and within the statutory maximum.

Paragraph thirteen sets forth that Mr. Ganim is aware that defendants are generally afforded the right to appeal a sentence imposed. Mr. Ganim has agreed to waive his right to appeal if the Sentencing Court finds that his adjusted offense level before reduction for acceptance of responsibility is level 35 or less. Along those same lines Mr. Ganim is agreeing to waive his right to challenge his conviction or sentence in a post collateral (sic) proceeding except for claims of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct and Mr. Ganim's agreeing that he currently knows of no evidence of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct.

Paragraph fourteen sets forth that if Mr. Ganim's plea is not accepted by the Court or is later set aside or if Mr. Ganim breaches any part of this agreement, the United States Attorney will have the right to void the agreement.

And paragraph fifteen sets forth that the preceding fourteen paragraphs constitute the entire agreement between the parties.

THE COURT: Ms. Montoro, is that the only agreement that was offered to this defendant?

MS. MONTORO: Your Honor, there was some back and forth and I don't remember if--I believe that this was the agreement that was initially offered.

THE COURT: Mr. Walker, can you illuminate us?

1 It is the only offer that we ever MR. WALKER: 2 received and it was the only offer that was a product of our plea negotiations. We had been negotiating some of the 3 terms there for some time. It wasn't--this wasn't a 4 5 surprise but this was the -- this was the only agreement that 6 developed. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 MR. WALKER: Yes, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. I'll receive that. 10 Ganim, is the agreement as summarized the agreement that you 11 have entered into with the United States Attorney's Office? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: And you fully discussed this with your 14 attorney before signing it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 15 16 THE COURT: It's ordered filed and made a part of 17 the record. Do you understand that you're pleading guilty 18 to a federal felony charge? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Have you discussed with Mr. Walker that 21 you have a right to have the United States District Judge 22 who will sentence you conduct today's change of plea 23 hearing? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand that as a Magistrate

```
1
      Judge I don't have the same powers as the District Judge who
      will sentence you? I have very limited powers and because
 2
      of that the only way I can conduct this change of plea
 3
      hearing is with your consent. Any consent that you give
 4
 5
      must be your own free, voluntary, knowing and intelligent
      consent. Do you understand that?
 6
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: Do you want to consent?
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
               THE COURT: Is that your own free, voluntary,
11
      knowing and intelligent decision?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
               THE COURT: Are you willing to reduce that to
14
      writing?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
               THE COURT: I'm going to give you a form that
17
      accomplishes that. Please review it with Mr. Walker.
18
          (Counsel and defendant reviewing and executing form)
19
               THE COURT: The waiver and consent as signed by Mr.
20
      Ganim is ordered filed and made a part of the record.
          What is your name, sir?
21
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Patrick Ganim.
23
               THE COURT: How old are you?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Twenty-nine (29).
25
               THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
```

```
13
1
               THE DEFENDANT: Eleventh Grade and then I got my
      GED.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: You are then able to read, write, speak
      and understand English?
 4
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 6
               THE COURT: Are you able to read without glasses or
 7
      contact lenses today?
               THE DEFENDANT: I have contact lenses in.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: And you're able to read all right then
      with them?
10
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12
               THE COURT: Are you able to hear all right?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT: Are you able to concentrate on what is
15
      going on around you?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17
               THE COURT: Have you understood what I've asked or
18
      said to you up to this point?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: Have you been treated for a mental
      illness, psychiatric or psychological problem in the last
21
22
      six months?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
24
               THE COURT: Have you been treated for addiction to
25
      narcotic drugs within the last sixty days?
```

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

1 THE COURT: Have you consumed alcohol in the last 2 twenty-four hours? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: As you sit here in this hearing room do 4 5 you have any physical, emotional, psychological or 6 psychiatric condition which in any way affects your ability 7 to hear, understand and respond to my questions, talk with Mr. Walker about your case or make your own decisions about 8 9 your case? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 11 THE COURT: Did you receive a copy of the Grand 12 Jury Indictment? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Did you read the charges that were made 15 against you in that Indictment? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that even should you 18 plead guilty to Count One of that Indictment, you are--will 19 remain charged under Counts Two and Three of that Indictment 20 unless and until those charges are dismissed, presumably at 21 the end of your sentencing hearing, on motion of the United 22 States? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Count One charges you as follows: 25 Travel with intent to engage in illicit sexual conduct. The

Grand Jury alleges on or about October 1, 2014, in Preston County, West Virginia, in the Northern District of West Virginia, and elsewhere, the defendant Patrick W. Ganim traveled in interstate commerce from outside the State of West Virginia to Preston County, West Virginia for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with another person; that is to say, the defendant, who was twenty-nine years of--twenty-nine years old at the time, traveled from outside the State of West Virginia to Preston County, West Virginia, where he committed West Virginia offenses of Third Degree Sexual Assault by engaging in anal and oral sexual intercourse with a twelve year old person, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2423(b).

There are certain elements that the Government must be able to prove relative to this crime. They must be able to prove:

- 1. That it was you, Patrick W. Ganim, who committed the crime.
- 2. That you traveled from outside of the State of West Virginia into the State of West Virginia, crossing the state line and going in to Preston County, West Virginia; that at the time of that travel, on or about October 1, 2014, it was your intent and the purpose of that travel to engage in illicit sexual activity, in this case anal and oral sexual intercourse, with a minor, a twelve year old person.

	17
1	Do you understand that's the elements of the crime?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Did you thoroughly read that Count of
4	the Indictment?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: Did your attorney read and review the
7	charges in the Indictment to you word by word and line by
8	line?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Do you understand those charges?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: Do you need any additional time to
13	further discuss the charge in Count One or any of the
14	charges with your attorney?
15	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
16	THE COURT: How did you get along with Mr. Walker
17	as counsel?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
19	THE COURT: How many times did you and Mr. Walker
20	get together in person or on the phone or some other way to
21	talk about your case?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Four or five times I believe.
23	THE COURT: Was the four or five times that you did
24	get together with Mr. Walker sufficient for you to have a
25	complete and full understanding of the law and the facts

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Did you review that evidence? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: After looking at the evidence and 4 5 discussing your case with your attorney, did you come to a conclusion in your own mind that the Government did have 6 7 sufficient evidence that it could present at trial that 8 would convince a jury beyond a reasonable doubt that you 9 were guilty? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Walker discuss whether 11 12 or not there were possible defenses that you might be able 13 to raise to this charge? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Did you ask him to explore those 16 defenses? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Did he? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I believe so. 20 THE COURT: Why aren't you using those defenses, or 21 any one of them? 22 (Pause) 23 THE COURT: Is it because after reviewing those 24 defenses or possible defenses you decided in your mind that 25 none of them were sufficient to convince even a single juror

1 that there was a reasonable doubt as to your quilt? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Did you ask Mr. Walker to investigate 3 any witnesses? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 6 THE COURT: Is that because there were no witnesses 7 that you thought he should investigate? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Did you tell him there were any 10 witnesses that you thought should be investigated? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 THE COURT: If I gave you additional time today, do 13 you know of any witness that you didn't tell Mr. Walker 14 about before today that if you did tell him it might make a 15 difference between going to trial or pleading quilty? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 17 THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Walker talk about the 18 criminal proceedings you have been going through? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Did you and he talk about how a jury 21 trial would be conducted if you did not plead guilty? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Walker talk about the 24 maximum statutory penalty you are subjecting yourself to by 25 pleading guilty to this particular crime?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Then do you understand from that discussion, as well as paragraph two of your plea agreement, 3 the maximum statutory penalty the Court can impose is a term 4 5 of imprisonment of not more than thirty years; a fine of not 6 more than two hundred and fifty thousand dollars; a period 7 of supervised -- of course you could be both fined and imprisoned and a period of supervised release, which must be 8 9 at least five years but can extend out to life? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Did you understand that supervised 11 12 release was in addition to any term of imprisonment? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? 15 In other words, were you born here, sir? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: If you were not already a citizen of 18 the United States and then were found guilty or pled guilty to this felony crime, do you fully understand that a non-19 20 citizen, at the conclusion of his or her sentence, could be deported by the United States? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: A non-citizen could be denied 23 24 application for citizenship in the United States? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: And a non-citizen could be denied entry into the United States from outside of its borders? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Walker talk about the 4 5 sentencing process that the District Judge is going to use? 6 THE DEFENDANT: About the guideline charts and 7 rating system? THE COURT: Yes, sir. 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Did you understand from that discussion 10 11 then that as part of the sentencing process, number one, the 12 Judge is going to calculate an advisory sentencing guideline 13 range for your case? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Number two, she's going to consider 16 that range and possible departures upward and downward, 17 including the upward departures that you and the Government 18 have agreed to in the stipulation in your plea agreement. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: She's also going to consider sentencing 21 factors that are set out by Congress in 18 United States 22 Code, Section -- I believe it's 3553(a). Do you understand 23 If you need to talk with your attorney about that? 24 sentencing factors, please feel free to do that. 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, one quick second.

```
1
          (Pause - Counsel and Defendant conferring)
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did remember the number of
 2
 3
      that.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Now, sir, do
 4
 5
      you fully understand from your discussions with your
 6
      attorney that the District Judge does not have to give you
 7
      the calculated guideline sentence as the actual sentence
      that she imposes?
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
               THE COURT: In other words, you understand those
      advisory guidelines are just that, advisory; they're not
11
12
      binding on the District Judge?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT: Did Mr. Walker promise you how much
15
      time you were going to get?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: Approximately ten years.
17
               THE COURT: Did he promise you you would only get
18
      ten years?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Said about ninety-percent chance
20
      I'd get ten years.
21
               THE COURT: Well I want you to understand that
22
      while Mr. Walker may have represented that to you, you can't
23
      take that as a promise or a guarantee to you that you're
24
      going to get ten years, or about ten years. Do you
25
      understand that?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And the reason he can't promise that is because only a District Judge sentences you and the District Judge will not even consider what sentence to impose until she has reviewed the proceedings from today, the Report and Recommendation I'll write; until she has reviewed any Presentence Investigation Report that's prepared and until she has heard any objections that might be filed to that report and finally until she's heard both you and the Government and any witnesses you all may call at your sentencing hearing.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And do you--

MR. WALKER: May I just comment on that, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. WALKER: Of course I have to recommend an opinion in every case of what the sentencing exposure is and the likely sentence under the guidelines. In this case, the way we approached the plea negotiations and the settlement, the parties made an effort to detail as precisely as possible the guidelines that would apply in this particular case and of course that's something that is a careful process and of course that's something that's a deliberative and thoughtful process so we came up with a stipulation that at least as far as the United States of America is concerned

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Mr. Ganim is concerned, doesn't allow for any question or gray area, that kind of thing, between the parties. my estimate is based on that stipulation and based on also the low end recommendation as contemplated by the plea agreement. Of course it's not a promise; never was a promise but it is an estimate and an opinion and I stand behind that. Not only do I stand behind it but it's my policy in every case to document in writing my opinions about the likely sentence that will flow from a settlement and my opinions about the likely result if convicted after a trial so, of course, in keeping with my practice and the policy of my office I did those things and documented the other aspects of our conversations so that, you know, my client is not there without anything in black and white to I think that that's the least that I can do for rely on. someone who's facing some fairly serious charges but I think he'll agree there's no guarantee and no promise and there's an understanding that the plea agreement could be rejected by the District Court. The likelihood of that may be a subject of another conversation, but it is a possibility and he knows that and I have explained that.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Walker.

MR. WALKER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Ganim, you were sitting there listening to your attorney, does that statement that he just

```
1
      made comply with what you remember him talking to you about?
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: Now, are you completely satisfied with
 3
      the legal assistance, counseling, advice and actions that he
 4
 5
      has provided to you?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 7
               THE COURT: Is there anything that he should have
      done and didn't do in your case?
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10
               THE COURT: Is there anything that he did do and as
      you look back on it you wish he had not done it?
11
12
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
13
               THE COURT: Now you've indicated you think your
14
      actual sentence that's going to be imposed by the District
15
      Judge is somewhere around ten years. Is that a fair
16
      statement?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18
               THE COURT: Actually you don't know what your
19
      actual sentence is going to be as you sit here today, do
20
      you?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
22
               THE COURT: You know it cannot be more than thirty
23
      years?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25
               THE COURT: And you know that your guidelines, if
```

```
1
      you get the benefit of the plea agreement and the Judge goes
 2
      along with the plea agreement will end somewhere's in the
 3
      nature of a level--bear with me a moment--a level 35 before
      reductions for acceptance of responsibility, timely
 4
 5
      acceptance of responsibility. Is that correct?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: So if you got those reductions called--
 7
 8
      that your plea agreement speaks to, you'd be at a level 32,
 9
      correct?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: Level 32 under the advisory guidelines
11
12
      for a Criminal History Category 0 to I is between 121 and
13
      151 months.
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15
               THE COURT: And you understand that 120 months is
16
      ten years?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18
               THE COURT: And you're hoping for the low end of
19
      the advisory quidelines?
20
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21
               THE COURT: And you know as you sit here today that
22
      there's no quarantee to you that the District Judge will
23
      give you the low end of those advisory guidelines?
24
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
25
               THE COURT: So all that was an exercise by me to
```

make sure that you understood, and tell me you understood, 1 that you don't know what your actual sentence from the 2 3 District Judge is going to be when you get to sentencing some six, eight or more weeks from today. Is that a fair 4 5 statement? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Are you willing to plead guilty today not knowing what your actual sentence is going to be some 8 9 six, eight or ten or more weeks from now, Mr. Ganim? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you fully understand that if you 11 12 receive an actual sentence of more time than what you are 13 hoping for within the statutory maximum that you will not 14 then have a right to change your mind and withdraw your plea 15 of quilty? 16 (Pause - Counsel and Defendant conferring) 17 THE COURT: Do you need me to repeat that? 18 VOICE: Is he allowed to talk to family? THE COURT: I don't know who's talking in the back, 19 20 but keep quiet or I'll have you removed. THE DEFENDANT: So if it's not within the 121 to 21 22 151 then I cannot appeal back to that place where I signed 23 the plea that was? 24 THE COURT: I'll direct that question to your 25 attorney. The answer is your attorney can answer that

```
1
      question, sir.
          (Pause - Counsel and Defendant conferring)
 2
                            Judge, I answered the question.
 3
               MR. WALKER:
      Sooner or later we're going to get around to the appellate
 4
 5
      rights provision of the plea agreement--
 6
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
               MR. WALKER: --and the way that I read the provision
 7
      and understand the provision, the way I've explained it to
 8
 9
      Mr. Ganim is that if he is sentenced at a level above what's
      contemplated, his base offense level, then he would -- he
10
11
      would be able to appeal.
12
               THE COURT: That's correct. Appeal, not withdraw
13
      his guilty plea.
14
               MR. WALKER:
                            That's right.
15
               THE COURT: There's a difference.
16
                            There's some room for appeal.
               MR. WALKER:
      probably what we would call a limited waiver under the plea
17
18
      agreement. It's based on a level 35.
19
               THE COURT: Right. Well, Mr. Ganim, my--
20
                            I think it's important, Judge--I think
               MR. WALKER:
21
      it's important to say that this is a nonbinding plea
22
      agreement and that's the way that it -- it's been explained to
23
      him.
24
                           Sure. Mr. Ganim, what I want you to
               THE COURT:
25
      understand is that if you don't get the sentence that you're
```

1 hoping for from Judge Keeley at sentencing and it's higher than what you're expecting, that will not allow you the 2 3 right to withdraw your guilty plea that you make today. There's a difference between pleading guilty and being 4 5 sentenced. Pleading guilty is admitting that you did what you're accused of. The sentence is the punishment that you 6 receive for what you did so with that in mind, yes, you may 7 appeal under the provisions of your plea agreement, 8 depending on where your sentence is but that doesn't get--9 10 mean the same thing as asking the Judge to let you withdraw 11 your guilty plea. She's not going to let you do that. 12 you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Now do you completely understand the 15 difference between pleading guilty and sentencing? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Did you read each and every word and 18 line of your written plea agreement, sir? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Did Mr. Walker read each and every word 21 and line of that plea agreement to you? 22 THE DEFENDANT: We went over it; yes. 23 THE COURT: Did he stop as he went through it and 24 explain various legal words and legal citations, sir? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Were they sat--were his explanations 2 satisfactory to you? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you need any additional time to 4 5 further discuss the agreement with Mr. Walker before we move 6 forward? 7 (Pause) 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 9 THE COURT: Has anybody made any promises to you in 10 order to get you to plead guilty other than what are in that 11 written plea agreement, sir? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court had 14 nothing to do with preparing the language or negotiating the 15 language of your plea agreement? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Do you fully understand that the 18 District Judge will withhold her decision whether she's 19 going to go along with anything in your plea agreement until 20 she's had the benefit of the Presentence Report and until 21 she's had the opportunity to hear you and the Government at 22 your sentencing hearing? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Now we were talking earlier with Mr. 25 Walker and you together about the nonbinding recommendations

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are contained in your plea agreement and they're found on the third page, paragraph seven and eight. If you've met all the preconditions you are hoping that, and Ms. Montoro has agreed that she will stand before the Judge and recommend that you get a two level reduction for acceptance of responsibility; that you receive an additional one level reduction for timely acceptance of responsibility and that any sentence of incarceration that the Judge imposes quote "should be at the lowest end of the applicable guideline range". Do you fully understand that it's up to Judge Keeley whether to go along with those recommendations? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand that if she were to not go along with any one or combination of those three recommendations, her advisory quideline sentence might be higher than it would have been had she gone along with them? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And do you also understand that your actual sentence within the statutory maximum might be higher than had she gone along with them? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And do you understand that if that would occur you will quote "have no right to withdraw a guilty plea if the Court does not follow the sentencing

recommendations set forth in the plea agreement"?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's right there at the end of paragraph seven, isn't it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There's also a--what we call a nonbinding stipulation, Mr. Ganim. We've been talking about that. That's in paragraph numbered ten and under that paragraph you and Ms. Montoro's office agree that the following facts and information is stipulated, and that means simply agreed to between you and Ms. Montoro's office.

First: That on or about October 1st, 2014, in Preston
County, West Virginia, in the Northern District of West
Virginia and elsewhere, the defendant, Patrick W. Ganim,
traveled in interstate commerce from outside the State of
West Virginia to Preston County, West Virginia, for the
purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct with another
person. Basically the elements of the crime. Correct, sir?

THE COURT: You further stipulate and agree that Mr. Ganim, who was twenty-nine years of age at the time, traveled from outside the State of West Virginia to Preston County, West Virginia, where he committed West Virginia offenses of third degree sexual assault by engaging in anal and oral sexual intercourse with a twelve year old person. You agreed to that, correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And that's more factual evidence that would have to be proved if the case went to trial, right, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Then it goes on to say that you further stipulate and agree that the appropriate base offense level is 24 pursuant to the Guideline 2G1.3(a)(4); further stipulate and agree that a two level enhancement, that's increase, applies for undue influence given that the victim was more than ten years younger than Mr. Ganim pursuant to Guideline 2G1.3(b)(2)(B). You further stipulate and agree that a two level enhancement, increase, applies for the use of a computer pursuant to Guideline 2G1.3(b)(3)(A). You further stipulate and agree that another two level enhancement applies for sexual contact pursuant to Guideline 2G1.3(b)(4)(A). Then you and Ms. Montoro further agree and stipulate that a five level increase applies for a pattern of activity pursuant to Guideline 4B1.5(b) and then you go on to further agree with Ms. Montoro that there are no further enhancements under those advisory guidelines. you understood that paragraph, didn't you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you understood that's how you got to the level of 35?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: And then you're hoping that paragraph--3 the three level reduction under paragraph eight will get you reduced down to a level 32, is that correct? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that District Judge 7 Keeley at sentencing could reject any one or combination of 8 those nonbinding stipulations? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand she can make her own 11 findings of fact at sentencing by a preponderance of 12 evidence standard without a jury present? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Do you understand that if she were to 15 enter--impose a sentence that calls for you to spend more 16 time in jail then what would be called for under the 17 stipulation in paragraph ten, you will not then have a right 18 to change your mind and withdraw your guilty plea? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Do you need any additional time to 21 discuss any of that with your attorney? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: Has anyone attempted in any way to 23 24 force you to plead guilty, sir? 25 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

```
1
               THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or someone
 2
      close to you in order to get you to plead guilty?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
               THE COURT: Is your decision to plead guilty your
 4
 5
      idea or Mr. Walker's idea?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: My idea.
 7
               THE COURT: Other than what's contained in your
      plea agreement, has anyone offered or promised you anything
 8
 9
      to get you to plead guilty?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
               THE COURT: Do you believe the Government's
11
12
      evidence is sufficient to prove you quilty beyond a
13
      reasonable doubt of Count One?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15
               THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Walker talk over the
16
      consequences of pleading guilty to this federal felony?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18
               THE COURT: Now I'm going to go over these one by
      one but I want you to understand some of them will be a
19
20
      repeat of what we've already done. First, you're going to
21
      be adjudicated guilty of a felony offense.
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
23
               THE COURT: Second: As a result of that, you may
24
      lose the right to vote.
25
          Third: You may lose the right to serve on a grand or
```

1 petit, state or federal jury. 2 Fourth: You may lose the right to run for and hold a 3 public office. Fifth: You are going to lose--you will lose the right 4 5 to possess a firearm or ammunition for a firearm as those 6 terms are defined under federal law for any purpose and for the rest of your life. 7 Six: You are going to be subject to a sentence of 8 9 imprisonment. That imprisonment is for a term that cannot 10 exceed thirty years. 11 Seven: If the District Judge finds you have the ability 12 to pay a fine, the District Judge could impose a fine up to, 13 but not more than two hundred and fifty thousand dollars. 14 Eight: You could be both fined and imprisoned. 15 Ninth: You're going to be subject to a term of 16 supervised release; the minimum period of which could be 17 five years, but it could extend to life and that is in 18 addition to any term of imprisonment; and, 19 Tenth: You're going to be subject to a special 20 assessment of one hundred dollars whether you have the 21 hundred dollars to pay it or not. 22 Do you understand all that, sir? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you fully discussed all that with your attorney?

24

25 sentenced had prior firearm offense convictions, prior 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

violent felony offense convictions or prior drug offense convictions, state or federal; those have to be taken into account and they do serve to increase any guideline sentence and any actual sentence within the statutory maximum. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And that's the purpose by which Mr. Walker inquired about your criminal history and you reviewed the Government's criminal history; is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And you understood that when you were going through it, didn't you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that if Judge Keeley were to find you had the ability to pay she could impose on you the cost of your own incarceration, community confinement and/or supervised release? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that as a convicted federal felon you will be required to supply a DNA sample and that sample will remain on file against you, your name, your identifiers beyond your natural lifetime? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand from your discussions with Mr. Walker that there is no parole in the federal criminal system?

41 1 prior criminal history, you would be a 0 to I Category. 2 that your understanding also? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And as you go down the left-hand column 4 5 of the chart, that's the various offense levels; correct, 6 sir? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And you understood how the chart worked 8 is once you've got all the offense levels calculated in, you 9 10 go over to the Criminal History Category and that gives you 11 a range of months of imprisonment under the advisory 12 quidelines? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: You understand, don't you, that because 14 15 Mr. Walker gave you his best estimates and best advice 16 concerning how the guidelines work and how the calculations 17 work, that's not a guarantee or a promise to you that at 18 sentencing, some six, eight, ten weeks from now, Judge 19 Keeley's going to see it the same way he did? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: And if Judge Keeley sees it differently 22 and imposes a sentence that calls for more time than what 23 Mr. Walker may have predicted for you or projected, do you 24 understand you will not then have a right to change your 25 mind and withdraw your guilty plea?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right to plead not guilty, sir? 3 THE DEFENDANT: 4 Yes. THE COURT: And you have a right to go to a jury 5 6 That's guaranteed to you by the Constitution. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Walker talk about all 8 9 the rights you have under a jury trial? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Then you understand that, number one, 12 you're presumed innocent of all the charges. 13 The Government has the burden of proving guilt 14 beyond a reasonable doubt. They can only use lawful 15 evidence in that process. You're entitled to the assistance 16 of counsel for your defense. 17 You have a right to be at the trial, to see and to hear 18 and have all the witnesses cross-examined in your defense. You have a right to testify if you want to; however, you 19 20 cannot be forced to take the stand and testify if you do not 21 want to. 22 You're not required to call witnesses in defense of the 23 case against you; however, if you want to call witnesses you 24 may do that and if your witnesses are uncooperative you may

use the subpoena powers of the Court to require them to

1 attend the trial and take the oath. 2 If you decide not to testify or not to call witnesses, the fact that you did not testify or call witnesses can't be 3 used as evidence against you and you have a right to a 4 5 unanimous jury verdict. 6 Do you understand you have all those rights? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead 8 9 guilty to Count One today there will be no jury trial and 10 you will have given up all those rights? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Do you want a jury trial, sir? 13 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 14 THE COURT: Is that your own free, voluntary, 15 knowing and intelligent decision? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Now have you understood my questions, 18 sir? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Did your--did Mr. Walker instruct you 21 to answer any particular question in any particular way? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 23 THE COURT: Are the answers then you've given me 24 today your own independent answers? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

	Sinclair - Direct 44
1	THE COURT: And did you truthfully answer each and
2	every question I've asked you today?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Now do you need any additional time to
5	talk anything over with Mr. Walker?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Ganim, do you still intend to plead
8	guilty?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Well then I'm going to turn to Ms.
11	Montoro and ask her to present evidence that supports the
12	plea that you're proposing to make. It's required by Rule
13	11. I want you to listen to it and then we'll proceed with
14	the change of plea hearing. Ms. Montoro.
15	MS. MONTORO: Your Honor, the Government calls
16	George Sinclair.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Sinclair, if you'll come forward,
18	be sworn by the Clerk and then take the witness chair.
19	GEORGE SINCLAIR, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
20	THE CLERK: You may be seated.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. MONTORO:
23	Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
24	A. George Allen Sinclair.
25	Q. And with whom are you employed?

Sinclair - Direct

- 1 A. Preston County Sheriff's Office.
- Q. All right. And did you come to investigate Mr. Ganim?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. Can you give us a brief overview of how you became
- 5 involved in that investigation?
- 6 A. An I-Pod owned by a juvenile female was turned over to
- 7 one of our deputies. I do the mobile forensics at the
- 8 office. It was stated that there was some pornographic
- 9 pictures on the I-Pod, as well as some chat sessions. I did
- 10 an analysis on the phon--on the I-Pod. I found the
- 11 pornographic pictures. I found the chat sessions. It was
- 12 | in Kik Messenger, between Mr. Ganim and the juvenile.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now when you received the I-Pod you didn't know
- 14 that it was Mr. Ganim, correct?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. Tell us how you identified Mr. Ganim as the person with
- whom the victim had exchanged these messages?
- 18 A. I had--I got an ID from the Kik Messenger and then I put
- 19 out a call to--it's an IACIS, I'm on a List Serve. It's
- 20 | called IACIS and I asked for help on identifying this screen
- 21 | name. I got a reply back. They gave me a full run down of
- 22 who the screen name belonged to and I found out it was Mr.
- 23 Ganim.
- Q. All right. And based on your ability to identify Mr.
- 25 | Ganim as the person using the user name that's corresponding

Sinclair - Direct

1 | with the victim, were you able to obtain a search warrant

- 2 for Mr. Ganim's home?
- 3 A. I sent the information to the Ohio authorities where Mr.
- 4 | Ganim lived and they got the search warrant.
- 5 Q. Okay. And are you aware of any--anything that they
- 6 | found during the execution of the search warrant which would
- 7 | corroborate the fact that this was Mr.--the defendant,
- 8 Patrick Ganim?
- 9 A. I don't know the details of that. I know that I did
- 10 | find pictures of Mr. Ganim on the I-Pod of the juvenile.
- 11 Q. Okay. And those correspond with your visual observation
- 12 of Mr. Ganim?
- 13 A. Yes. Yes.
- 14 | Q. During the course of your investigation, did you come to
- 15 | interview the victim?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Okay. And can you tell us what she told you about her
- 18 | interactions with Mr. Ganim, particularly leading up to
- 19 October 1, 2014?
- 20 A. They had conversed back and forth on Kik Messenger.
- 21 | There was pictures exchanged back and forth between Mr.
- 22 | Ganim and the juvenile; arrangements were made for them to
- 23 | meet. Mr. Ganim was--had texted her or chatted with her and
- said he had a delivery in the area and they met up probably
- 25 | shortly after that.

Sinclair - Direct

- 1 Q. All right. And where did Mr. Ganim travel from in order
- 2 to meet with the victim?
- 3 A. I believe it was Bainbridge, Ohio. It was in the Ohio
- 4 area.
- 5 Q. Is that near Cleveland?
- 6 A. Yes. Yes.
- 7 Q. And where did he travel to?
- 8 A. Reedsville, West Virginia.
- 9 Q. And that's in the Northern District of West Virginia?
- 10 A. Yes, in Preston County.
- 11 Q. Okay. And during the investigation were cell phone
- 12 records obtained that demonstrate Mr. Ganim's travel from
- 13 Cleveland to Preston County?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | O. What did the victim tell you occurred -- tell you had
- occurred between her and Mr. Ganim when he traveled to
- 17 | Preston County, Reedsville?
- 18 | A. I believe she was staying--she was staying with her
- 19 | father. She snuck out of the house and met him at--it's
- 20 | called Luigi's Sport's Center. It's a bowling alley. She
- 21 | met him in the parking lot. They went back to his truck.
- 22 | She performed oral sex on him and then anal sex.
- 23 Q. Okay. So he had anal intercourse with her and compelled
- 24 her to perform oral sex on him?
- 25 A. Yes.

Sinclair - Cross 48 O. After this--and this encounter occurred on October 1, 1 2014? 2 3 A. Yes. Q. And after the -- that encounter occurred, did Mr. Ganim 4 5 continue corresponding and communicating with the victim? 6 Α. Yes. Q. Okay. I think we've discussed that on October 1, 2014--7 well, how old was Mr. Ganim on that date of this encounter? 8 9 A. Twenty-nine (29) years old. Q. Okay. And how old was the victim on that date? 10 A. Twelve (12) years old. 11 12 Q. And during the course of your investigation did you 13 learn that Mr. Ganim knew at least that the victim was a 14 minor? A. Yes. 15 16 MS. MONTORO: Okay. Those are all the questions I 17 have. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Walker, anything additional from you, sir? 19 20 MR. WALKER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 21 CROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. WALKER: 23 Q. You mentioned the cell phone records and that the cell 24 phone records indicate some travel? 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Can you explain the nature of the records and what--what
- 2 they showed?
- $3 \quad | A. \quad \text{It was a--it was a map that I saw and it showed Mr.}$
- 4 | Ganim's cell phone pinging off certain towers from Ohio
- 5 traveling down through Pennsylvania, through West Virginia
- 6 into Preston County.
- 7 Q. And during what time period?
- 8 A. It was in October.
- 9 Q. Okay. The same month--
- 10 A. Of 2014, yes, sir.
- 11 Q. --of the allegations?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now how did you use that to corroborate the
- 14 | information in the case?
- 15 A. I don't understand.
- 16 Q. Did you look at the cell phone information before you
- 17 | talked with the victim or after? How did that factor into
- 18 the investigation?
- 19 A. I, myself, had subpoenaed Kik Messenger to get Mr.
- 20 Ganim's records and I used his cell phone and his IP
- 21 | addresses to find out who he was. Okay. The cell phone
- 22 tower records was done by the federal government.
- 23 Q. Okay. So the cell phone information was gathered after
- 24 your personal participation in the investigation?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | O. But you did review that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you know who generated or what agency
- 4 generated or caused the generation of the cell phone
- 5 records?
- 6 A. I believe the FBI.
- 7 Q. Okay. And tell us about the map and what it showed?
- 8 A. It showed, I guess points on a map of where cell towers
- 9 would have been, where his phone, if you know how they ping
- 10 off of cell towers it showed a direction of travel from his
- 11 home town through Ohio, through Pennsylvania, down through
- 12 West Virginia into Preston County and then after their
- 13 encounter, it showed it going right back the other way.
- 14 | Q. Did you review any of the chats from Kik Messenger in
- 15 | the case?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And tell us generally what the chats indicated?
- 18 A. To me it was Mr. Ganim grooming the juvenile for a
- 19 possible sexual encounter. There was very explicit sexual
- 20 | conta--sexual verbiage in the chats. There was pictures
- 21 transferred back and forth in the chats.
- 22 Q. What kind of pictures?
- 23 A. There was a picture of his penis. There was pictures of
- 24 | the juvenile.
- 25 Q. Were the pictures of the juvenile, were any of them

Case 1:15-cr-00019-IMK-MJA Document 62 Filed 01/13/16 Page 51 of 61 PageID #: 262 Sinclair - Cross 51 1 pornographic? There--2 Α. Q. Sexually suggestive? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. Now did you review any of the chats that took place after the alleged encounter on October 1st in Preston 6 County? 7 A. Yes, I did. 8 Q. Okay. Did they have any investigative value? 9 A. The--excuse my wording but the gist of it was that I 10 11 believe the juvenile had mentioned something about being a 12 virgin and then she said, well, part of me still is and Mr. 13 Ganim agreed with that. 14 Q. Okay. Can you tell us in a little more detail how you interpret that or what that meant? 15 16 A. Apparently--what I gathered out of that is that they did have anal sex. She--she wasn't vaginally penetrated; she 17 18 was anally penetrated. 19 Was there a discussion about that in the chats? Ο. 20 Not--Α. 21 O. Some reference to it in the chats? 22 A. Not in so many words; no, sir. 23 Q. Okay. Well was there an indication in the chats that 24 any kind of contact had occurred or that there was this 25 meeting?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And did you use the chats to corroborate the other
- 3 information in the case?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And was there anything in the chats from after the
- 6 encounter that suggested or indicated that some type of
- 7 sexual activity had occurred on October 1st?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. How did you cause the interview of the victim to
- 10 occur?
- 11 A. I contacted the mother and the father after the
- 12 information that I had found out from the analysis of her I-
- 13 Pod, explained to them what I had found and asked them if it
- 14 | would be okay to interview her.
- 15 Q. What happened?
- 16 A. I--they okayed it.
- 17 Q. And how did the victim get to your office for that
- 18 | interview?
- 19 A. Either the mother or the father brought her.
- 20 Q. Okay. And where did the interview take place?
- 21 A. In my office.
- 22 Q. All right. Now did you have any con--of course there's
- 23 | a recording of that interview?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. A video?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Is that your common practice?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. Were there any conversations that took place
- 5 before that video or did you just kind of let it roll when
- 6 | she came in?
- 7 A. Yeah. As soon as she came in, basically I told the
- 8 parents and her what I was going to do. Basically, I want
- 9 to record this; you know, say who I am, who--then I'll ask
- 10 | you who you are and all that; nothing about the act.
- 11 Q. Nothing substantive?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. Okay. Because sometimes, as you know, there's a
- 14 pre-interview--
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. --before the actual interview.
- 17 A. Right. Yeah.
- 18 Q. And that didn't occur here?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. All right. That's your practice?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. To record everything that's said?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now was the victim in any kind of distress
- 25 | when she came to your office or during the interview?

- 1 A. She didn't appear to be, no.
- 2 Q. All right. And how did you get the I-Pod in the first
- 3 | place? How did--how did this investigation come to your
- 4 attention? Did you seek it out or did someone--or did it
- 5 | come to you?
- 6 A. It came to our department.
- 7 O. Describe how.
- 8 A. I believe the sister of the juvenile had noticed that
- 9 there was pictures, pornographic pictures on her sister's I-
- 10 Pod.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Took it to the dad. The dad contacted the mother. The
- mother contacted our office, which was Deputy Meador and
- 14 Deputy Meador then gave it to me to do the analysis.
- 15 Q. Now earlier in your testimony there was a suggestion
- 16 | that the sexual activity was compelled. Can you describe
- 17 | that? Are we talking about any threats or force or anything
- 18 like that in this case?
- 19 A. Not that I--no.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You can't have consensual sex with a minor because a
- 23 minor can't consent, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. But it was, from an outward appearance, a consensual

55 Sinclair - Cross 1 activity? 2 Yeah. Yeah. Α. The victim knew the meeting was going to happen--3 Ο. Yes. Yes. 4 Α. 5 --knew that Mr. Ganim would be in town--Q. 6 Α. Yes. --and wanted to go meet with him? 7 Ο. 8 Correct. Α. 9 And get together there? Ο. 10 Α. Correct. All right. And she never alleged that he threatened her 11 Ο. 12 or scared her or harmed her or anything like that? 13 There was no threat of bodily harm or anything. 14 Q. Okay. And in fact the relationship, if you want to call 15 it that, continued for some--some time as evidenced by the 16 Kik Messenger? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. All right. How do you know where--how do you know that 19 Mr. Ganim, as you testified, resided in Ohio? Where did you 20 get that information from? 21 I--when I got the information back from Kik it was 22 lengthy. It was probably forty or fifty pages. I went 23 through every IP address and I wrote down every IP address 24 and where I had a larger conglomerate of the IP address area 25 I knew it was in the Ohio area. I knew it was around the

- 1 Bainbridge area and then when I got my information back from
- 2 | IACIS it proved what I had wrote down that he lived in the
- 3 Ohio area.
- 4 Q. Okay. So did you subpoena the information from Kik
- 5 | first?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. All right. And what did you actually request in the
- 8 subpoena?
- 9 A. Any and all records of Patrick Ganim.
- 10 Q. Of Patrick Ganim or of the--the term that he went by
- 11 | through Kik?
- 12 A. Yeah, his screen name.
- 13 Q. His screen name?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And then that information--what did it tell you
- 16 | when you received the materials back? What did it actually
- 17 | tell you about that screen name? Did it give you an address
- and a telephone number and a home location for Ganim or did
- 19 | it--or--what--what was it all about?
- 20 A. It was more or less--it was a list of pages--page after
- 21 page of IP addresses.
- 22 Q. Is that because it's a mobile--we're talking about a
- 23 mobile device?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. If it was a fixed device then you would have one IP

- 1 address?
- If he used it at that one address, yes.
- Q. Okay. And what more did you learn from IACIS, is it? 3

- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Q. What more did you learn there? How did--how did that
- 6 help you focus in your--
- What they sent to me was a picture of Mr. Ganim's 7
- Facebook and a search report of Mr. Ganim; gave me his name, 8
- 9 his date of birth, his address, social, who he worked for,
- where he worked and where he lived. 10
- O. And was that based on the information from the Kik 11
- 12 Messenger or was that based on the IP addresses that you--
- 13 you already had?
- 14 Kik Messenger and the IP addresses both.
- 15 So you able to match up information when you receive--
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Were any devices located in Ohio that matched the IP
- 18 address that you had identified?
- I believe the Bainbridge Township Police Department 19
- 20 served the search warrant. They confiscated computers and
- 21 cell phones.
- 22 Q. And did they ever relate--explain to you that there was
- 23 some type of corroboration or something from the search that
- 24 indicated that this was the right individual and that this
- 25 should continue as an investigation?

Sinclair - Cross 58 1 I did not receive any report from them, other--Α. What did you learn? 2 Q. Learned that it was--basically it was Mr. Ganim and he 3 was using his cell phone as the means of communication. 4 Q. So the device was ident--a device was identified? 5 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 All right. And was there any analysis of that device that occurred? 8 9 Α. That I cannot tell you. 10 Q. Would that be through the FBI? Either FBI or Bainbridge. 11 Α. 12 MR. WALKER: Okay. I have no further questions. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect? 14 MS. MONTORO: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down, sir. 16 (Witness excused from stand) 17 THE COURT: Any further witnesses, Ms. Montoro? 18 MS. MONTORO: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Ganim, I take it you were able to 20 follow the testimony of the officer? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Do you need any additional time with 23 Mr. Walker before I entertain your plea, sir? 24 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: Patrick W. Ganim, how do you plead to 25

```
Count One of the Indictment, which charges you with
 1
      felonious travel with intent to engage in illicit sexual
 2
 3
      conduct on or about October 1, 2014 in Preston County, West
      Virginia, in the Northern District of West Virginia, and
 4
 5
      elsewhere?
 6
          (Pause)
 7
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Guilty.
               THE COURT: Is that your own free, voluntary,
 8
 9
      knowing, intelligent, un-coerced plea of guilty to that
10
      felony charge?
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
12
               THE COURT: You indicated you heard the testimony
13
      of the officer.
                       Is there anything about your conduct on or
      about October 1, 2014 that he described in that testimony
14
15
      that you disagree with?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
17
               THE COURT: Mr. Walker, does your client desire to
18
      make any statements at this time?
19
          (Pause - Counsel and Defendant conferring)
20
               MR. WALKER: He'll make a statement at sentencing.
21
               THE COURT: Very good, sir. The Court will enter
22
      an appropriate Report and Recommendation. Within that
      Report and Recommendation I will outline the various things
23
24
      that I'm required to under Rule 11 and the--make appropriate
25
      findings based upon the testimony that's been given here
```

```
60
1
      today. I will recommend acceptance of the plea of guilty
 2
      and recommend that Mr. Ganim be adjudicated guilty by the
      District Judge; that sentencing proceed. To that end I'll--
 3
 4
      I'll direct that a Presentence Investigation Report be
      prepared. I think that concludes today's proceeding. Is
 5
 6
      there anything else from the Government?
 7
               MS. MONTORO: No, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Walker?
 9
               MR. WALKER: No.
10
               THE COURT: Good luck to you, Mr. Ganim. We're in
11
      recess.
12
            (The hearing concluded at 2:17 p.m., 06-08-2015)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Linda L. Bachman, Certified Verbatim Reporter and Official Reporter of the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the above-styled action as digitally recorded and typographically transcribed by my.

I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the judicial Conference of the United States.

Given under my hand this 13th day of January, 2016.

___/s/ Linda L. Bachman_____Official Reporter, United States
District Court for the Northern
District of West Virginia